

JENNY L. SHEAFFER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
ANGELA R. GOTTLER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP
200 Public Square, Suite 2300
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2378
Tel: (216) 363-4500
Fax: (216) 363-4588
E-Mail: jsheaffer@beneschlaw.com
agott@beneschlaw.com

7 DAVID M. GIVEN (State Bar No. 142375)
8 FEATHER D. BARON (State Bar No. 252489)
9 PHILLIPS, ERLEWINE & GIVEN LLP
10 50 California Street, 35th Floor
11 San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 398-0900
Fax: (415) 398-0911
E-Mail: dmg@phillaw.com
fdb@phillaw.com

13 Attorneys for Defendant
14 HOMAX PRODUCTS, INC.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.

Case No. 10 CV-02994-JF

Plaintiff,

v.

21 AERO PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL
22 INC., BP LUBRICANTS USA INC., BRK
23 BRANDS INC., CALICO BRANDS INC.,
24 COOPER LIGHTING LLC, DAREX LLC,
25 DEXAS INTERNATIONAL LTD., DYNAGRO
26 NUTRITION SOLUTIONS, FISKARS
27 BRANDS INC., GLOBAL CONCEPTS INC.,
HOMAX PRODUCTS INC., KIMBERLY-CLARK
CORPORATION, KRACO ENTERPRISES LLC, LIXIT
CORPORATION, MEAD WESTVACO CORPORATION,
NUTRITION 21 INC.,

**DECLARATION OF ROSS CLAWSON IN
SUPPORT OF HOMAX PRODUCTS,
INC.'S MOTIONS TO DISMISS
PURSUANT TO RULES 12(B)(1) AND
12(B)(6)**

Judge: Hon. Judge Jeremy Fogel
Date: October 1, 2010
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: Courtroom 3, 5th Floor

1 OATEY CO., OPTIMUM TECHNOLOGIES
2 INC., NEWELL RUBBERMAID INC.,
3 SCHICK MANUFACTURING INC., THE
4 SCOTTS COMPANY LLC, STERLING
5 INTERNATIONAL INC., VITAMIN
POWER INCORPORATED,
WOODSTREAM CORPORATION, 4-D
DESIGN INC.,

6 Defendants.
7
8

9 I, Ross Clawson, declare and state as follows:

10 1. I am the President of Homax Products, Inc., which is a Delaware corporation with
11 corporate headquarters at 200 Westerly Road, Bellingham, Washington 98226 (hereinafter,
12 "Homax").

13 2. I have been associated with Homax for 17 years, and I have held my current
14 position since 1999. I am authorized to make this Declaration on behalf of Homax.

15 3. In my capacity as President of Homax Products, Inc., I have developed a broad
16 knowledge of the products that the company sells. I am also familiar with the competitors and
17 competing products in the marketplace.

18 4. As pertains to Homax, the Complaint filed by San Francisco Technology Inc. in
19 the above-captioned case mentions only two Homax products by name—the Homax Orange Peel
20 & Knockdown Ceiling Texture Vertical Spray product and the Homax Acoustic Patch Ceiling
21 Repair product. (See Dkt. 1, Compl. ¶¶ 105-09.) Products such as these can be referred to
22 generally as aerosol spray texture consumer products.

23 5. Homax's primary competitor in the marketplace for aerosol spray texture
24 consumer products has been a company called Spraytex, Inc. ("Spraytex"). Spraytex itself
25 owned a large portfolio of its own patents including many related to aerosol spray texture
26 consumer products.

27 6. U.S. Patent No. 4,802,057 is neither a Homax patent nor is it a patent number
28

1 marked upon either the Homax Orange Peel & Knockdown Ceiling Texture Vertical Spray
2 product or the Homax Acoustic Patch Ceiling Repair product.

3 7. The Homax Orange Peel & Knockdown Ceiling Texture Vertical Spray product
4 and the Homax Acoustic Patch Ceiling Repair product are both relatively durable consumer
5 products with long shelf-lives. Neither of these products are subject to spoilage.

6 8. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein. If called as a witness, I
7 could and would testify competently to the matters stated herein.

8

9 The statements herein are made of my own knowledge and are true. I understand that
10 willful false statements are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both under 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

11

12 Dated: August 12, 2010



Ross Clawson

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28